22nd march 1961 963

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—May I know the date on which Cabinet decided to expand the ministry in the interest of the public?

- Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is not question to be decided by the cabinet.
- Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—May I know when the Chief Minister felt like that?
- Sri B. D. Jatti.—It is not as Chief Minister but as Leader of the House I felt like that. It was done on 27th February 1961.
- Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—What was the data that the Chief Minister had when he expanded the ministry by taking in four Ministers just to have better administration in the State?
- ಶ್ರೀ ಬ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಡೇಟಾ ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಮಾಡುವಂಥ ವಿಷಯವಲ್ಲ ಇದು. ಒಂದಾವರ್ತಿ ಇದೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ನದನ್ನರು ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪೊರ್ಟುಪೋಲಿಯ ಬಹಳ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿದೆ. ಅವುಗಳನ್ನೂ ಬೇರೆಯವರಿಗೆ ಹಂಚಿದರೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳು ಚನ್ನಾಗಿ ಅಗುತ್ತವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರು. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೀಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚುಮಾಡಲಾಯಿತು.
- ಶ್ರೀ ಇ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗಾಡ.—ಶ್ರೀ ಏ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾರುರ ನಮಿತಿ ಕ೯ನರ್ಡ್ಡ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಈ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡಿರಾ!

APPOINTMENT OF 4-MAN COMMITTEE TO EXAMINE BORDER DISPUTE BETWEEN MYSORE AND MAHARASHTRA STATES.

*Q.—199. Sri V. S. 'PATIL (Belgaum).—

Will the Government be please to state.-

- (a) whether they have accepted the proposal for appointing a 4-man committee to examine the border dispute between Maharashtra and Mysore States;
- (b) if so, on what terms this Government have agreed to the said proposal;
- (c) who are the persons appointed on behalf of this Government on that committee and what are the terms of reference;
- (d) whether this Government have made any proposals for the consideration of the committee and if so, whether they will place them before the Assembly?

A.—Sri B. D. Jatti (Chief Minister).—

- (a) Yes.
- (b) At the joint meeting between the Chief Ministers of Maharashtra and Mysore held during June 1960, it was decided to appoint two representives each to represent the Governments of Maharashtra and Mysore.

(Sri B. D. JATTI)

(c) Mysore Government have appointed Sri S. Channiah, M.L.C. and Sri S. S. Malimath, B.A., LL.B., Retired High Court Judge, as the two representatives of Mysore on the Committee.

Terms of Reference:-

To study and discuss in detail together with two representatives of the State of Maharashtra the cases put forward by both the Governments and to report to the Government as to the extent of agreement and disagreement about the disputed border.

- (d) Government have drawn up their views on the subject and sent the same to the Government of Maharashtra in reply to the views they have sent to Mysore. It is too early now to place these "views" before the House at present. The result of the 4-man committee discussions may be awaited.
- Sri V. S. Patil.—May I know when this four man committee was appointed?
- †Sri B. D. Jatti.—It was replied last time. I have no information at persent. If necessary I will get it and tell the Hon'ble Member.
- Sri V. S. Patil.—May I know whether any time limit has been fixed for submitting the report of the committee?
- Sri B. D. Jatti.— It is left to the discretion of the Members of that Committee. We have not put any time limit.
- Sri V. S. Patil. —May I know why not even a provisional time limit has been put?
 - Sri B. D. JATTI.-We have not agreed to give any time limit.
- Sri V. S. Patil.—May I know whether this Government are aware that the Maharashtra Government have placed their views in the matter before the Maharashtra Assembly and why this Government has not done likewise?
- Sri B. D. Jatti.—The question is being considered by the four man committee. No sooner they come to conclusions we will place it.
- Sri V. S. Patil.—May I know whether it has come to their notice that the terms of reference as recorded in the answer are too vague and the committee will not be able to function on the vagueness of these terms?
- Sri B. D. Jatti.—This committee has been constituted on the understanding of the two Chief Ministers of two States and there is no question of saying whether it is vague or specific.
- Sri B. R. Sunthankar.—There is a rumour in the Congress circles in Belgaum...

- Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—On a point of order. Are we going to discuss rumours that are prevalent?
- Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, the Hon'ble Member has not even completed his sentence and how can a point of order arise?
- Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER,—Why does he put questions based on rumours? He can put question based on facts.
- Sri B. D. Jatti.—Before the Hon'ble Member Sri V. P. Deena-dayalu Nadiu completed his point of order, why should the Hon'ble Member Sri C. J. Muckannappa interfere?
- Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—That is because all his information are rumours.

(Laughter)

- Sri B. R. Sunthankar.—It is definitely learnt from the Congress circles in Belgaum that Sri S. Channiah member of the committee has resigned the membership of the committee. May I know whether it is a fact?
- Sri B. D. Jatti.—The Hon'ble Member himself is not a Member of the Congress party. So, whatever information he has must be false.
- Sri B. R. Sunthankar.—Is it necessary to be a Member of the Congress to get informations?
- Sri B. D. Jatti.—If you want correct information you must be a Member of the Congress and then you will know what goes on there.
- Sri G. Venkatai Gowda.—May I know whether this Government has expressed their views on the case made out by the Maharashtra Government?
- Sri B. D. Jatti.—It is not like that. The Government of Maharashtra have prepared their own case, and the Government of Mysore has prepared its case and submitted it to the four-man committee. Both these cases have to be studied and a report submitted.
- Sri V. S. Patil.—May I know whether any meeting of this committee has been held or whether there is any likelyhood of holding a meeting in the near future?
- Sri B. D. JATTI.—One meeting was held some time back, and another meeting will be held on 24th of this month.
- Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—May I know whether this Committee is going to tour the border areas?
- Sri B. D. Jatti.—It is a matter left to the Committee. The Government does not know it.

- Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—May I know whether any pressure is brought to bear on the Chief Minister to see that the committee does not visit the border areas?
- Sri B. D. Jatti.—The purpose of the committee is to study the two cases sent by the two Governments. Their purpose is not tour. There is no question of bringing in any pressure on the Chief Minister. I have not yeilded to any pressure.
- Sri B. R. Sunthankar.—I know that you will not be influenced.

 May I know the place of next meeting?
 - Sri B. D. Jatti.—It is Bangalore.

RECORDING THE NAMES OF THE RYOTS OF GAJENDRAGAD JAHAGIR VILLAGE IN THE OWNERS COLUMN OF THE RECORDS OF RIGHT REGISTER

*Q.-215. Sri A. J. DODDAMETI (Ron).-

Will the Government be pleased to state.-

- (a) whether the ryots of Gajendragad Jahagir village, Ron Taluk, have obtained proprietory rights in pursuance of the provisions of Bombay Act No. 39 of 1953;
- (b) if so, steps taken by them in getting the names of the ryots recorded in the owners column of the Records of Rights register;
- (c) whether it is a fact that certain villages of Gajendragad Jahagir have been amalgamated with the Taluks of Kushbagi and Yalburgi, Raichur District, and if so, the number and names of such villages;
- (d) whether the provisions of Bombay Act No. 39 of 1953 have been introduced in the villages amalgamated with Kushtagi and Yalburgi Taluk;
- (e) the further action taken by Government in accordance with the provisions of the Act and if not whether they would take action as above at least hereafter?
 - A .- Sri KADIDAL MANJAPAA (Minister for Revenue). -
 - (a) No.
 - (b) Does not arise in view of reply to (a).
- (c) The following three villages of Gajendragad Jahagir have been merged in the Taluks noted against each:

Name of village Name of taluk in which merged

(1) Hiregonnager ... Kushtagi Taluk (2) Hagedal ... Yelburga Taluk

(3) Hirebahadardinni ... Manvi Taluk (all in Raichur District).